UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,449	04/14/2005	Yasuhiro Shigeyama	2005_0649A	7002	
	7590 04/28/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			COLLINS, MICHAEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/531,	449	SHIGEYAMA ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		MICHAE	L K. COLLINS	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF 7 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no a nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS oplication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)∏ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters	·	e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the the drawing(s) filed on is/are:	re withdrawn from o	requirement.	:he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	'TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/531,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(i). The claim should provide indentation for each element. Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,449

Art Unit: 3651

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chudy et al. (USP 6,170,230).

Regarding claim 1, Chudy et al. disclose a drug dispenser (see Figure 1) comprising a drug case (1) for containing a plurality of drug packages (9) stacked in a vertical direction (see Figures 2A-2B), each of the plurality of drug packages being a rectangular package having a flange at an upper side of the package (see Figures 2A-2B), and a pushing mechanism (11) for pushing out from the drug case the lowermost drug package (9) in a horizontal direction (see Figure 2A), the pushing mechanism including rails (12) for supporting the lowermost drug package as it is moved in the horizontal direction by the pushing mechanism, wherein a guide member (10) is provided fixedly on an inner surface of a side wall of the drug case separately from the pushing mechanism for supporting and guiding the flange of the second drug package when the lowermost drug package is being pushed out (see Figure 2A), the guide member being provided in a pushing direction of the pushing mechanism.

Regarding claim 2, Chudy et al. disclose the drug dispenser of Claim 1, wherein the guide member (10) is provided on at least any one side of the pushing direction (see Figure 2B).

Regarding claim 3, Chudy et al. disclose the drug dispenser of Claim 1, wherein the guide member (10) is extended toward a downstream side of the pushing direction (see Figure 2B).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

Regarding claim 4, Chudy et al. disclose the drug dispenser of Claim 1, wherein the guide member (10) supports and guides the flange of the drug package on an upstream side of the pushing direction (see Figures 2A-2B).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-10 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL K. COLLINS whose telephone number is (571)272-8970. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M.K.C. 4/25/2009 /Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651